

	Application No. Applicant(s)		
Notice of Allowability	10/723,461	LAHTI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Richard L. Leung	3744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperature All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	ears on the cover sheet with the co (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this app or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to and MPEP 1308.	olication. If not include will be mailed in due	ed course. <b>THIS</b>
	<u>unuary 2000</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1,3,5-16 and 19-21</u> .			
3. X The drawings filed on 16 April 2004 are accepted by the Ex	kaminer.		
<ul> <li>4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unally all b) Some* c) None of the:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* Certified copies not received:</li> <li>Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM</li> </ul>	been received.  been received in Application No cuments have been received in this i	national stage applica	
<ul> <li>THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.</li> <li>5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give</li> </ul>	itted. Note the attached EXAMINER es reason(s) why the oath or declara	S AMENDMENT or Nation is deficient.	OTICE OF
<ol> <li>CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers</li> <li>1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date</li> <li>(b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date</li> <li>Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the state of the property of the state of the placement sheet (s) should be labeled as such in the placement sheet (s) should be labeled as such as the placement sheet (s) should be labeled as such as the placement sheet (s) should be labeled as such as the placement sheet (s) should be labeled as such as the placement sheet (s) should be labeled as such as the placement sheet (s) should be labeled as such as the placement sheet (s) should be labeled as such</li></ol>	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- s Amendment / Comment or in the C .84(c)) should be written on the drawin	Office action of	back) of
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo- attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL n FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICA	nust be submitted. I AL MATERIAL.	Note the
Attachment(s)  1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date  4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	5. Notice of Informal P 6. Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat 7. Examiner's Amendr 8. Examiner's Stateme 9. Other	(PTO-413), te nent/Comment	

Art Unit: 3744

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claims 1, 3, 5-16, 19-21 are allowed.
- 2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

As amended, all independent claims recite the limitation, "nonporous igneous rock," which renders the claims allowable. A search of the prior art has indicated that it is already known in the art to use chilled rocks and stones to cool drinks, as demonstrated by "Large Cooling Stones," but the prior art has failed to expressly teach or strongly suggest the specific use of nonporous igneous rock in such an application. Although US Patent No. 4081024 (Rush et al.) teaches that granite, a nonporous igneous rock, has a high thermal capacity, Applicant has persuasively argued in remarks dated 24 January 2005 that combining the teachings of "Large Cooling Stones" and Rush et al. is based upon impermissible hindsight reasoning. Accordingly, the rejections based upon the combination of these references are withdrawn. While a further search of the prior art has revealed that it was known in the art to use cubes of soapstone in place of ice for the purpose of cooling drinks (see "Ice Cube of Sweden," cited below), this again fails to teach the use of the claimed nonporous igneous rock since soapstone is understood to be a soft, metamorphic rock that would inherently have different properties. The other cited references considered pertinent do not overcome this deficiency. Therefore without a clear teaching in the prior art, it cannot be reasonably concluded that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time

Art Unit: 3744

of the invention to have used nonporous igneous rock as recited in the claimed invention.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

## Response to Arguments

3. In response to applicant's argument regarding the date of publication for "Large Cooling Stones," (http://www.halfbakery.com/idea/Large\_20Cooling\_20Stones), it is submitted that the date of availability for websites may be verified through the Internet archiving website: http://www.archive.org/ In this case, the earliest archived date given for "Large Cooling Stones" is 13 April 2001, which is prior to a year before the earliest effective filling date of the present application. A printed copy of the archived version of "Large Cooling Stones" has been provided along with this Office Action to demonstrate that the information disclosed therein is identical to the information relied upon in the previous Office Action. Accordingly, "Large Cooling Stones" is still considered to be valid prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(b). Likewise, an archived copy of "Ice Cube of Sweden" (http://www.icecube.se/English/Product.htm) dated 28 September 2000 has also been provided and is cited below.

## Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3744

US Patent No. 2152467 (Crosby)

US Patent No. 2688467 (Leatzow)

US Patent No. 4554189 (Marshall)

US Patent No. 4761314 (Marshall)

"Ice Cube of Sweden" [online], [retrieved on 11 April 2005]. 2000. Retrieved from the Internet <URL:http://web.archive.org/web/20000928194235/http://www.icecube.se/English/Product.htm>

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard L. Leung whose telephone number is 571-272-4811. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise L. Esquivel can be reached on 571-272-4808. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard L. Leung Examiner Art Unit 3744

Art Unit: 3744

rll

DENISEL. ESQUIVEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700